

UWYO: College of Engineering and Applied Science

2020 Spring CE-ARE Teaching & Course Evaluations

Course: 5X202020CE-4555-01,CE-5555-01: Spring 2020 - Geometric Design (CE-4555-01, CE-5555-01) : Sherif Moustafa Tawfik,Gaweesh: 5X202020

Instructor: Sherif Moustafa Tawfik Gaweesh,Md Nasim Khan

Response Rate: 22/23 (95.65%)

1 - 1. The course had clear learning objectives?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	63.64%		4.59
Agree	(4)	7	31.82%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	1	4.55%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.59		0.59	5.00

2 - 2. The course helped me develop relevant skills and knowledge?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	63.64%		4.55
Agree	(4)	7	31.82%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	4.55%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.55		0.74	5.00

3 - 3. The assignments, quizzes and exams, etc, were effective for learning the course material?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	54.55%		4.50
Agree	(4)	9	40.91%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	1	4.55%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.50		0.60	5.00

4 - 4. The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject and the instructor was well-prepared?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly agree	(5)	16	72.73%		4.73
Agree	(4)	6	27.27%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.73		0.46	5.00

UWYO: College of Engineering and Applied Science

2020 Spring CE-ARE Teaching & Course Evaluations

Course: 5X202020CE-4555-01,CE-5555-01: Spring 2020 - Geometric Design (CE-4555-01, CE-5555-01) : Sherif Moustafa Tawfik,Gaweesh: 5X202020

Instructor: Sherif Moustafa Tawfik Gaweesh,Md Nasim Khan

Response Rate: 22/23 (95.65 %)

5 - 5. The instructor helped students learn the course material?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly agree	(5)	12	54.55%		4.45
Agree	(4)	9	40.91%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	4.55%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.45		0.74	5.00

6 - 6. The instructor returned coursework in a timely manner?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly agree	(5)	15	68.18%		4.59
Agree	(4)	6	27.27%		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	4.55%		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.59		0.73	5.00

7 - 7. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Excellent	(5)	16	72.73%		4.55
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%		
Good	(3)	2	9.09%		
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)		4.55		0.86	5.00

- The instructor is an authority in this course. .
- While I definitely prefer an in class experience, I was pleasantly surprised at how well Sherif was able to transition the class online.
- Sherif was a nice guy and I enjoyed being in class with him. I don't think he was very committed to the course and sometimes I felt like him teaching/being in class was a burden. Not the message you want to give to a class. Furthermore, Sherif never seemed willing to compromise, we had to complete the schedule and assignments as required. Given the circumstances that the COVID crisis put us under, most of my other professors were very willing to work with students including reducing the assignment load, helping on assignments, etc. Sherif didn't do this and it really put unnecessary stress on myself and I'm sure other students as well.
- Teaching was good, but the exams were much more difficult than the homeworks and class notes. A lot was packed into a short amount of time, and the exam crammed a lot of problems onto one paper and lined notebook paper was provided. Questions on exams were also unclear with wording. I would like to see exams be a test of knowledge not whether you can handle a curveball, as well as a full space for working out each section of the problems.
- DR. Gaweesh utilized various methods in order to convey the information in the best way as well as he trained us on the CIVIL 3D software by conducting several lab sessions which were very useful not just for the course project but for applying jobs related to roadway design
- One thing that could improve future courses is having notes uploaded to WyoCourses at least one day in advance. There were a few times when a new version of a note set was uploaded only an hour or two before class began, which is inconvenient if you have a class before and don't have time to print out the new notes.
- The instructor was very helpful and knowledgeable. He thoroughly knew the material and was helpful in clearing up any questions.

UWYO: College of Engineering and Applied Science
2020 Spring CE-ARE Teaching & Course Evaluations

Course: 5X202020CE-4555-01,CE-5555-01: Spring 2020 - Geometric Design (CE-4555-01, CE-5555-01) : Sherif Moustafa Tawfik,Gaweesh: 5X202020
Instructor: Sherif Moustafa Tawfik Gaweesh,Md Nasim Khan
Response Rate: 22/23 (95.65 %)

8 - 8. Overall, how would you rate the course?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Excellent	(5)	12	54.55%		4.27	
Very Good	(4)	7	31.82%			
Good	(3)	1	4.55%			
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%			
Poor	(1)	1	4.55%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
22/23 (95.65%)			4.27		1.08	5.00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The course was practical and to do the point • The project was kind of thrown on us with not a lot of explanation and given the Covid situation, should have been thrown out because it has been very difficult to coordinate. It should have become an individual task that covers similar objectives with CIVIL 3D • The course was okay. There was much difference from CE 3500 which was disappointing. I didn't like how we still had to do a term project even though we transitioned to teleschooling. It was a huge burden because no one could meet at the same times, it was incredibly hard to coordinate with our group on work, and it was just a huge inconvenience and added stress that wasn't necessary. Furthermore, we were required to use programs that we never went over in class and this isn't senior design, we shouldn't have to teach ourselves. • This course has a lot of relevant information that I think would help in a future career. The use of civil3D for the project is great and shoulf 100% be kept in the course. There is a lot packed into this class so it is hard to keep up with. • The course was helpful in learning the different elements of geometric design. The project was somewhat a pain with using the remote lab since it didn't want to cooperate all the time. But it was doable. But it was not the fault of the instructor. 						